Change in Networks, Higher Education and Knowledge Societies (CINHEKS)

Collaborative Research Project (CRP) funded by the European Science Foundation (ESF) as a part of EUROHesc programme

Jussi Välimaa & David Hoffman Finnish Institute for Educational Research, University of Jyväskylä



INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ

Rationale for the Study: Changes in Societies

25.6.2010 page 2

Modern Societies can be characterized as Knowledge Societies in two ways:

- 1) the primary sources of innovation increasingly derive from research and development
- 2) the percentage of GNP per population & the restructuring of employment is increasingly geared to the field of knowledge production



Theoretical & Analytical Perspectives to Knowledge Societies

25.6.2010 page 3

- **Castells:** the *informational mode of production* (the principal organizing feature of human relationships: the role of technology in knowledge generation, information processing & symbolic communication)
- **Benkler:** posits the idea of *Network Information Economy* (characterized by new ways of organizing knowledge production through peer production)
- Stehr: The social theory of knowledge society aims at explaining the fundamental role knowledge plays in economics, culture and the politics of modern societies

Perspectives to Knowledge Society: a Discourse

25.6.2010 page 4

- Knowledge Society creates an imaginary social space in which everything related to knowledge or knowledge production can be included & interconnected (individuals, organisations, business enterprises or societies)
- Knowledge Society is both the objective of policies and debates & an agent promoting policies and debates concerning its' potentials
- the term itself is a social and political actor when discussing the goals of the societies



NSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH NIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ

Knowledge Economy, Learning Society and Knowledge Society

25.6.2010 page 5

Knowledge Economy is a competing theoretical tradition (Chicago School/neo-liberal economical thinking): this version of the human capital theory emphasize economic profit produced by educational investments for individuals and/or business & nations

Learning Society:

- a new kind of society in which the old distinctions between formal and non-formal education is no longer valid (in the 1960s & the 1970s) and lifelong learning is indispensable (learning how to learn & new groups of learners included)
- ->in the new millennium: changing workplaces & professions-> updating knowledge during one's career



KS and HE?

25.6.2010 page 6

'Zeitdiagnose':

- 1) "Mode 1 & 2" knowledge is mainly produced directly in the context of its application.
- 2) Triple Helix in which previously isolated universities, government and industry now play a crucial and intertwined role in innovation production in increasingly knowledge-based societies
- Academic Capitalism is challenging the traditional values within HEIs. In this scenario, Higher education systems become fertile grounds for *entrepreneurial universities* and *entrepreneurial academics* networked in the new economy



The Aims of CINHEKS

25.6.2010 page 7

Because of the normative popularity of *Zeitdiagnose* (especially amongst policy makers) there are very few studies which analytically & critically examine how HEIs are empirically connected within different knowledge societies

- CINHEKS aims to explain the changing relationship between higher education and society within and across different regions: EU, USA, Japan
- CINHEKS focus on relationships within national economies and HEIs in three key regions with (assumed) distinct forms of knowledge societies: **Europe**, the **USA** and **Japan**
- The study utilises the insights raised by Slaughter and Rhoades (2004)
- The aim is to analyse the networks associated with knowledge production and dissemination



The Methodological Perspectives of CINHEKS:

25.6.2010 page 8

- The historical analysis compares & analyses the key features which shape and explain contextual differences underlying present patterns of knowledge production in Europe, the USA and Japan
- 2)The **policy discourse** analyses the policy contexts of HEIs in Europe, the USA and Japan, in order to explain how nation states and regions have promoted the development of knowledge transfer and utilisation.
- 3) The empirical studies -institutional profiles, institutional case studies, surveys- explain the way in which HEIs in Europe, the USA and Japan have each shaped and been shaped within distinct `knowledge societies'
- -> The methodological linkage of the three CINHEKS objectives will aim to explain the extent to which empirical evidence corresponds (or not) to current policy discussion and political debates

く

INSTITUTE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ

Objectives and Key Themes:

25.6.2010 page 9

Once contextualized in terms of history and policy, key differences between knowledge societies can be empirically analyzed because of differences in academic disciplines with regards to their relationships with society

The most relevant units of analysis are **basic units in HEIs** and **individuals**.

Focusing on these units of analysis also highlights linkages with **copyrights, patents, legal contracts and formal agreements** with companies and other funding bodies, all nodes that define knowledge flow in networks because of their legal status



Research Methods

25.6.2010 page 10

- The macro-level of analysis forms a sequential mixed methods design
- The outcome is an international comparative study contrasting three distinct regions of the globe, in terms of the three CINHEKS objectives
- The CINHEKS matrix comparative design is an integrated, interdisciplinary approach in which the elements of Individual Projects (IP) and an Associated Project (AP) are theoretically and methodologically developed by the Principal Investigator (PI) responsible for each project



Research Strategy

25.6.2010 page 11

The Coordination of CINHEKS & Mixed Methods Comparative analysis (IP1) (Project Leader Prof. Välimaa).

- **Project management & coordination** of all IPs and AP (*Dr. Hoffman*).
- Qualitative analysis of policy discourse (IP3) (Dr. Terhi Nokkala)
- **Comparative historical analysis.** (**IP2)** (*Prof. Ulrich Teichler, Dr. Anna Kosmützky*)

Institutional case studies (IP4) (*Prof. John Brennan, Drs. Brenda Little & Mala Singh*)

Survey (IP5) (*Prof. Rui Baptista & Dr. Hugo Horta)*

 Regional key differences: USA (IP6) (Prof. Gary Rhoades, Drs. Jenny Lee & Regina Deil-Amen & Cristina Rios-Aguilar)
Regional key differences: Japan (AP1) (Prof. Jun Oba)
Relating theory and empirical data to policy discussions. (IP 1/Prof. Välimaa, IP 6/Prof. Rhoades & AP1/Prof. Oba)



CINHEKS Project Time-line

25.6.2010 page 12

Project	Year 1 (2009-2010)	Year 2 (2010-2011)	Year 3 (2011-2012)
IP1 Project Coordination & Comparative and			
Discourse Analysis (IP3)			
IP2 Historical Context			
IP4 Case Studies			
IP <mark>5 Su</mark> rvey			
IP1 Regional Comparison (Europe)			
IP7 Regional Comparison (USA)			
AP1 Regional Comparison (Japan)			

